

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/849,349	MATSUNAGA, MOTOMI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Derek S. Chapel	2872	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Final Rejection

(1) Derek S. Chapel.

(3) _____.

(2) Scott Bittman (55,007).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2006

Time: 3:30pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Arne I.C. Lavaras
 Arne I.C. Lavaras

Derek Chapel

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Primary Examiner

Group Art Unit 2872

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Chapel notified Mr. Bittman that the copy of the foreign priority document could not be located by the USPTO but the examiner's references appear to all have dates coming before the foreign priority document's anyway. It was agreed that the examiner should send out his final action and if another copy of the Japanese foreign priority document (JP 2003-140352) was received from the applicant then foreign priority would be granted..